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Migration and Emancipation in West Africa’s Labour History: The Missing Links

Benedetta Rossi

Strategies of emancipation included projects of migration conceived and unfolded by migrants of slave descent. This article suggests that looking at how these migrants chose to move when they could control their mobility, and at the obstacles they faced, reveals aspects of the experience of enslavement and emancipation that have not yet been fully explored in the relevant historiography. While historians of African slavery have described the large-scale movements of ex-slaves that followed legal status abolition in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, only few studies provide detailed analyses of these trajectories from the perspective of the enslaved. Outside the field of slavery studies, both West African labour history and migration studies have been emphasising ethnicity over status, thereby underestimating how slave descent shaped the practices and aspirations of a large proportion of labour migrants. The autobiographic testimonies of migrants of slave descent provide insights into their strategies and expose differences across gender, age and location.

Through an analysis of these sources, this article highlights the missing links in our reconstructions of the history of West African workers.

In the second half of the nineteenth century, legal emancipation in the narrow European colonial possessions on the coast of West Africa gave rise to substantial displacements of slaves moving to regions under European rule.1 In the twentieth century, following colonial occupation of West Africa and the gradual application of emancipation decrees, ex-slaves migrated within European territories. They left in order to regain their original home, when they knew where they came from, or to move to regions where they could find new opportunities. Slave agency manifested itself through massive flights.2 Martin Klein thinks that slaves who fled to earlier homes in French West Africa between 1906 and 1911 could have been over a million.3 Paul Lovejoy and Jan Hogendorn suggest that between 1897 and 1907, as many as 200,000 slaves escaped following the British conquest of the Sokoto Caliphate.4
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European administrators strove to contain the emancipation movement they had provoked, but ‘none understood how the slaves themselves would take the issue into their own hands or, perhaps better, their own feet’. Throughout the whole of the twentieth century, geographic mobility played a major role in the slow process of emancipation in West Africa.

Through travels arranged on their own account, former slaves distanced themselves from slave status both physically and ideologically. Their migrations reveal projects aimed at moving away from sites where they had been enslaved and at reaching places where it would be possible to reap the benefits of their own labour. Emancipation induced a slow but steady transformation of social hierarchies visible in the renegotiation of the interlocked mobilities of slaves and masters. How far was the mobility of different categories of slaves controlled by their owners before legal status abolition? What were the consequences of legal emancipation for the capacity of former slaves to control their movements and labour? When, where and how did slaves, freed slaves and their descendants begin to move on their own account? What did they try to achieve? What obstacles did they face? How, if at all, did experiences differ across gender, age and status? Did slave descent influence attitudes towards travel, labour and property – in other words, were ex-slaves a particular type of migrant? In order to answer these questions, it is necessary to investigate the overlapping area between migration studies and the historiography of emancipation.

In the 1960s and 1970s, a large number of studies of labour migration in West Africa focused on the ethnicity of migrants, but dismissed the potential influence of slave descent on the strategies of labour migrants. An ex-slave migrant is a migrant whose trajectory is driven by a particular set of aspirations. Yet, unlike historians of African slavery and emancipation, students of migration have not paid consistent attention to the social status of migrants. The status-blindness of migration studies in favour of a primary concern with ethnicity was the outcome not only of how researchers framed their questions, but also of strategies of emancipation of ex-slave migrants trying to pass as free in sites where they could conceal their former enslavement. Historians of African slavery and emancipation have illustrated the transformation of former slaves into migrant workers. Their contributions, however, tell us more about socio-economic dynamics on a regional scale than about individual choices and the contexts in which individual choices took shape. Recent research in African slavery and emancipation is beginning to address the question of what travel meant to migrants trying to distance themselves from earlier enslavement. It is also beginning to differentiate across various categories of slave descendants, whose age, gender, social status and the type of relationships they could establish, influenced their options of mobility, at once spatial and social.

Building on the important contributions of Frederick Cooper, Martin Klein, Paul Lovejoy and François Manchuelle, recent studies of the migration projects of slave descendants rely upon a combination of long-term fieldwork and oral history in order to understand how ex-slaves experienced emancipation and struggled to make it happen. One of the factors that gave momentum to this field of enquiry has been a realisation that any study of slavery based solely or primarily on the testimonies of
slave-owners, and/or on the writings of colonialists, would yield partial and skewed interpretations. Africanists’ efforts to collect ‘slave narratives’, or rather – in Lovejoy’s rewording – ‘freedom narratives’, followed on the steps of historians of emancipation in other parts of the world. But as we strive to collect and integrate these sources into our analyses, we should be mindful of Eric Hobsbawm’s warning that achieving a balance among different types of sources should not be seen as an end in itself, but as a means towards answering specific questions. Only by integrating the perspectives of slave descendants in the historiography of African labour will we be able to distinguish the experience of migrants of free descent from that of migrants who were in fact ex-slaves trying to turn legal emancipation into concrete achievements and to establish how – if at all – the migration projects of these two groups differed. This information reveals continuities and discontinuities in labour relations, class formation, property redistribution and political representation that are invisible in studies that fail to stratify migrants according to status.

Through migration, some migrants of slave descent broke the chains of enslavement and entered new labour institutions and property relations. Others, however, migrated with and for former masters or faced obstacles spared to freeborn migrants. Some migrations marked ruptures with earlier forms of domination. Others, when observed close enough, reveal continuities of subservience which might have hindered – or sometimes accelerated – emancipation. Understanding who moved and who did not, why, when, how and with what results will allow us to refine, or revise, regional periodisations of emancipation. We know already that the chronology of legal abolition is of limited help in establishing a periodisation of actual emancipation. The status and living conditions of ex-slaves were not automatically transformed at the passing of emancipation laws. A history of emancipation must explain the projects of men and women, who at a certain moment – which may or may not have coincided with the passing of colonial decrees – chose to struggle to work on their own account. Recovering these projects today is generally a difficult task, but it is easier in the case of labour migrants whose movements can often be verified and are remembered by their descendants. Because movement implies choice, detailed analysis of the movements of migrants of slave descent reveals what they were up to, and what they were ultimately able to achieve by moving across specific places and social networks. Looking at where slaves, liberated slaves and slave descendants chose to go when they could control their movements, and at the obstacles they faced, sheds light on their aspirations and strategies, their struggles and frustrations.

This article argues that by reconstructing the migrations of slaves and slave descendants, we can write a history of West African labour from the perspective of workers themselves, and that this will both nuance and enrich our understanding of African labour history. This argument is developed in three successive steps. The next section discusses missing links between the historiography of the end of slavery and studies of labour migrations in West Africa. The following section analyses a case study taken from my own research on labour migrants of slave descent from the Ader region of today’s Republic of Niger to show how detailed research on the migrants’ choices and motivations can shed light on aspects of African labour
history that have yet to be fully explored. The third section examines recent research on the relationship between emancipation and spatial mobility, and suggests that the micro-historical scale and actor-oriented approach that characterise these studies amplify their heuristic potential. The field of labour migrations is only one of several domains that, explored in detail, can yield innovative insights on African emancipation and labour history. The same magnifying lens could also be fruitfully applied to the study of education, religious practices, marriage strategies and political struggles of former slaves and their descendants. Doing so will advance our comprehension of these diverse phenomena in particular African regions. More generally, micro-histories of emancipation will magnify the ‘differences within’ slaves and slave descendants, and provide a more precise account of the trajectories of emancipation followed by different categories of persons of slave descent facing different contexts of choice.

Rethinking the place of labour migrants of slave descent in West Africa’s labour history

The experience of slaves and slave descendants has been marked by their struggle to control their labour. Yet, surprisingly little research has explored how former enslavement affected the consciousness of African workers. Historians of African slavery have focused predominantly on the end of slavery and on new forms of labour control. However, they rarely followed the same subjects going from slave labour to occupations they chose, albeit under circumstances that were not of their own choice. The result is that we know little about how earlier enslavement influenced the historical consciousness and work habits of ex-slaves. To be sure, this issue has been flagged. In the concluding section of Slow Death for Slavery, Lovejoy and Hogendorn noted that in Northern Nigeria ‘the process of “peasantisation” was closely associated with the transition from slavery to freedom.’ In a review article that preceded the publication of Transformations, Lovejoy asked: ‘to what extent were [twentieth century labour] migrants of slave origin?’ Klein and Roberts’ 1980 article on the Banamba exodus shows that it was at emancipation, in 1905–1906, that Maraka slaves felt secure enough to walk away en masse from their masters, sometimes with the intermediation of resident colonial officers. But Klein and Roberts do not follow the slaves’ trajectories after the exodus: it is likely that, from their new homes, former slaves started practising seasonal labour migration to centres of colonial trade and production. Martin Klein’s writings document the agency of slaves who left the site of their enslavement at abolition and moved on to new occupations. For colonial French West Africa, Klein’s 1998 study provides detailed reconstructions of the trajectories, at once spatial and social, of slaves and ex-slaves travelling to new places in search for new opportunities. Klein’s detailed historical reconstruction and his effort to capture the ex-slaves’ perspectives laid the intellectual foundations of the type of research advocated here. The recent studies examined in the third section of the article are all explicitly influenced by his work.
Transformations of twentieth-century West African labour attracted the attention of researchers from different disciplines outside the field of the history of slavery. Since the 1950s, West Africanists have been discussing the relation between migration and class-formation. Yet, with the exception of Timothy Weiskel’s work, contributors to this debate did not enquire into the social origins of migrants. They assessed this phenomenon alternatively in terms of the economic efficiency of migration as a response to conditions in sending and receiving areas, or in terms of the progressive exploitation of the countryside by the logic of capital. Neoclassical political economists asked whether migration was an efficient response to environmental and economic pushes and pulls across the rural–urban divide. Elizabeth Colson suggested that migration had a positive function in areas where the potential for increases in land productivity was limited. Elliot Berg reasoned that as long as African governments were unable to supply social services to stabilise un-skilled labour, seasonal migrant labour remunerated by piecework rather than contract would remain the most viable solution to the needs of both sending and receiving areas. On the other hand, Africanist supporters of the Dependencia school suggested that seasonal labour migration was an outgrowth of capitalist development originated under colonialism: ‘they emigrate because the colonial system requires money of them.’ They blamed colonialism, first, and then development, for the creation of a dispossessed proletariat alienated from traditional occupations and compelled to migrate by forces outside their control.

For all their individual merits, both neoclassical and dependency approaches provide a selective reading of history. Emphasis on colonialism as a great moderniser or exploiter says nothing about the strategies of migrants – including slave descendants – confronted with specific institutions, only some of which were colonial. This, with Cooper, ‘gives rise to the question of how far we can go in discussing coloniality when the fact of having been colonized is stressed over context, struggle, and the experience of life in colonies.’ The history of seasonal labour migrations is rooted in the history of dependent labour relations. Because slavery was so prominent in nineteenth-century West Africa, these two strands of West African history – emancipation and ‘modern’ labour migrations – cannot be treated separately. Yet the debate on labour migrations and proletarianisation overemphasised ethnicity at the expense of status, and turned a blind eye to slave descent. Slavery’s remarkable absence from these studies suggests that West African migrant workers may have been successful at directing the attention of researchers away from what they wished to keep secret – their past enslavement.

Existing studies state clearly that some West African workers and soldiers had slave backgrounds. But in general, they do not illustrate how, if at all, this mattered to their predicament as ‘free’ workers. Paul Lubeck, in describing the urban growth of Tudun Wada (Kano), tells of the ongoing immigration of seasonal and permanent workers who sold their labour to the colonial state. One group of migrants was composed of ‘Tuareg and Aderawa’. Groups were ethnically classified and assigned team-leaders from their constituencies. A group of labour migrants from Ader had a Sarkin Buzu (chief of the Buzu), and contained Hausa-speaking former dependents of the Tuareg. In his study of labour in the Jos tin mines, Bill Freund also mentions
that the ‘slave population taking advantage of the end of the legal enforcement of slavery’, including migrants from Ader, constituted an important source of labour for the mines.\textsuperscript{27} But again, we are not told if and how slave descent mattered in the mines. In Ader (today’s southern Niger), labour migrants of slave descent tried to conceal their origins at their destination. This was almost impossible in Northern Nigeria, as locals knew how to read status on the bodies of migrants from neighbouring Ader. But colonial records did not distinguish migrants along status criteria, possibly because colonial officers could not differentiate between a poor freeborn peasant and a former slave. Today, the stories of migrants of slave descent are more easily available at the site of departure, where migrants who chose to return home were re-integrated in local hierarchies. Many successful labour migrants of slave descent never came back. As Mousa, an elderly migrant of slave descent, explained: ‘When slavery was abolished, many left. Today, they have become “Aderawa” and “Hausawa”: they changed their ethnicity.’\textsuperscript{28}

Ethnic passing was one of the main strategies of slave descendants.\textsuperscript{29} Although passing could happen in the region of origin, it worked better away from home, where people could not remember the language spoken by someone’s ancestors, or their rank and occupation.\textsuperscript{30} However, the phenomenon of ethnic passing biased scholarly interpretations of labour migration by emphasising ethnicity over status. Confronted with responses that highlighted vague ethnic solidarities but ignored status, researchers in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s set out to explain ‘ethno-professionalisation’ and ‘re-tribalisation’. They forgot that many migrants were of slave descent. Jean Rouch saw ethnic solidarity among migrants as an obstacle to class formation: ‘the city […] did not weaken tribal cohesion, it reinforced it. […] It is not class-consciousness emerging amongst the workers, but a tribal consciousness reborn, if it had ever waned’.\textsuperscript{31} Abner Cohen argued that the primary function of ethnicity was to serve the political and economic interests of migrants. He noted that urban migrants kept re-creating their distinctiveness in ethnic terms.\textsuperscript{32} Immanuel Wallerstein observed that, as an economic and political strategy, immigrants were becoming ‘super-tribalised’, forming ethno-professional communities in their new context, and that this process ought to be seen as the development of class consciousness.\textsuperscript{33} The fact that ethnicity appeared to compete with citizenship and threaten national integration in recently independent African states attracted considerable attention.\textsuperscript{34} However, the focus on ethnicity overshadowed important questions, including the relation between citizenship and slave descent, and the question of class consciousness among African (migrant) labour.\textsuperscript{35} Surprisingly, studies of class formation say little about slave descent. In 1988, Catherine Coquery-Vidrovitch asked of migrant labour: ‘can this mass of low-wage earners justifiably be called “proletarians” or a “working class” in the making?’ She answered tentatively that when these people had been exploited as labourers and had been separated from their rural origins for several years, they could be said to be \textit{ipso-facto} members of the proletariat, that is, those who have no means of surviving except by their physical strength.\textsuperscript{36}
This conclusion, shared by other researchers, is not as straightforward as suggested. Against the view that African workers should be seen as proletarians, Richard Roberts argues that on the contrary, the African worker differs from his metropolitan counterpart because s/he was not divorced from the means of subsistence. Continued access to land and mutual aid through kinship systems provide the clue to the nature of the unskilled labour coercive systems which characterize industrial capitalism in Africa. Indeed, continued access to the means of subsistence was part of a capitalist strategy in minimizing costs. […] Access to the means of production reduced the wage bill such that a significant part of the costs of social production […] was borne by production in rural areas.

Others emphasised that retaining access to land and the means of subsistence was not only expedient to capitalist strategies, but also a strategy of migrant workers to resist full-scale dependence on wage labour. Perhaps the peculiar resistance of African workers to proletarianisation could be explained by the fresh memory that African would-be proletarians had of slavery. This would apply whether they had actually experienced enslavement or whether, as slave-owners or enslavable commoners, they merely witnessed the plight of slaves. We know that ‘for those [slaves] who remained, the key to the struggle was land.’ But also for those who left, access to land was a major concern in their new settings. Availability of accessible land partly directed their movements. Moreover, land was not the only form of property that ex-slaves tried to acquire. Aurelien Mauxion’s research shows that the ‘spatial strategies’ of former slaves of Tuareg nomadic herders in the Gao region of French Soudan aimed at constituting a herd of their own by asserting claims to the herds of their masters. For semi-nomadic herders, emancipation did not engender a previously unknown mobility. But it allowed them to take control over their movements by establishing new areas of nomadisation that fell outside their masters’ influence. The experience of enslavement determined the nature of the emancipating slaves’ movements, claims and struggles. Ex-slaves entered the free labour market determined to acquire rights upon productive resources that had been hitherto denied to them. Their specific aspirations implied resistance to proletarianisation. This suggests that, at least in some contexts, what Roberts calls ‘the peculiarities of African labour and working class history’ could be explained by the recent memory of slavery in the workers’ consciousness.

Labour migration and emancipation: Ader’s labour migrants to Northern Nigeria

In the Ader region of southern Niger, migrations to British Nigeria received increased attention in the first half of the 1930s. In a letter to the Governor General of French West Africa dated 21 October 1933, Adelard De Loppinot stated that since 1916, 25,000 people had left the Cercle of Tahoua, which he commanded. This was more than 20 per cent of the Cercle’s population in 1933. Most migrants had moved to Nigeria, 10,000 of them to escape the military recruitments of 1916–1917. Noting that the double burden of taxes and compulsory labour had caused large numbers
of flights, De Loppinot openly criticised French labour policy in his reports of 1933.\textsuperscript{43} In 1934, De Loppinot was temporarily replaced. Commandant Paolini, who took his place, stated dryly: ‘there is absolutely no relation between the resources of which the native disposes and his fiscal charge.’\textsuperscript{44} In 1936, the sudden growth in Niger-Nigeria migrations was a response to two main factors: the famine of 1930–1932 and the promulgation of ordinance no. 19 in British Northern Nigeria in 1936.\textsuperscript{45} The news of conditions favourable to slaves spread quickly to French areas close to the border like Ader, which had been sending temporary migrants and traders since earlier times. Colonial reports did not inquire into the migrants’ identity. However, what appeared as an undifferentiated mass of migrants to colonial administrators in fact concealed distinct groups with different projects of migration.

Ader migrants included the descendants of free Hausa long-distance traders (Hausa: \textit{fatake}) who continued to operate along diasporic trade networks radiating to the main commercial centres of Ghana, the Ivory Coast and Northern Nigeria.\textsuperscript{46} They also included slaves who left never to come back, and whose exodus was an attempt to leave the stigma of enslavement behind and start a new life. Here, I focus on the trajectories of slave descendants who chose to engage in seasonal labour migrations. The first generation of circular migrants stayed away two to three months every year. For men of servile status, this had been the first chance to move independently from their masters’ wills. They travelled in groups of 15–20 people, although larger groups reached double this size. Compared to the organisation of roles in the caravans of the freeborn Hausa long-distance traders, the travel arrangements of migrants of slave descent were relatively egalitarian. Trips from northern Ader to Northern Nigeria lasted between 10 and 20 days. Migrants travelled on foot and feared wild animals and bandits. Their trajectories bordered villages without entering them, to avoid problems with local inhabitants who neither trusted nor welcomed strangers.

In a village that I shall call Zango, migrants used to migrate to Zaria and Kaduna carrying their own provisions in a backpack and millet porridge (\textit{fura}) in a gourd (\textit{gora}).\textsuperscript{47} At night, they camped outside the walls of villages. They did not sleep in the bush. Sometimes they entered a village, but they did not stay at somebody’s place: they camped in the mosque or in a public space. Although Ader’s migrants of slave descent had set off to find proper occupations, they looked like a threatening and impoverished crowd to villagers settled further south. Migrants of slave descent expected hostility from the inhabitants of villages they crossed in their southward trajectories:

\begin{quote}
When migrants saw a village, they bordered it. They searched and searched and searched (\textit{bi\text{\textgreek{g}}\text{\texta} su yi}, \textit{bi\text{\textgreek{g}}\text{\texta} su yi}, \textit{bi\text{\textgreek{g}}\text{\texta} su yi}) for a good spot where they could stop overnight, but this spot would not be inside the village, they feared meeting other people. They had their own water and flour.\textsuperscript{48}
\end{quote}

In Ader today, migrants are named after the very act of searching for places and opportunities, the ‘\textit{\textgreek{y}an bi\text{\textgreek{g}}\text{\texta}}’ or ‘the ones who search’. The destination of labour migrations was a ‘place of searching’ (\textit{wurin bi\text{\textgreek{g}}\text{\texta}}). Unlike migrants of free descent, who relied on diasporas from their villages in Northern Nigeria, the
initial challenge of the first generations of migrants of slave descent was finding a local host at their destination:

They left after the harvest. Once they reached their destination, they camped temporarily, and stored their luggage. They informed the chief of the neighbourhood that they were there to work. In the day they worked individually and at night they returned to the same place. They all lived in the same place, no one resided alone. They spent about two-three months at destination (\textit{wurin biga}). It was profitable to go. At home there was no money, none at all. The only way to earn money, then, staying at home, was to sell wood or hay in Tahoua.\textsuperscript{49}

People of free descent had other forms of capital that they could sell (part of their harvest, land, livestock). Selling wood or hay, freely available in Ader’s drylands, is what ex-slaves, who lacked capital, did when they did not migrate. But migration offered better opportunities to those who dared trying their luck abroad.

The careers of slave descendants tended to go from generic manual occupations that any able-bodied man could carry out to more specialised jobs that gave workers access to local market niches. One of the most frequent jobs of the first generation of migrants to Northern Nigeria was water carriage (\textit{garuwa}). The poorest migrant workers carried water in buckets hanging from a stick that they held on their shoulders, and sold it at markets and on the street. Some water sellers developed a network of private clients to whom they delivered water every day. The stability of this arrangement increased the security of their migrant revenues. Water sale and unskilled manual labour are what migrants did when they were not familiar with the society they found at their destination. After spending some time in a town, migrants inserted themselves in a niche in the local economy, establishing contacts with people for whom they worked and who hosted them or rented them a place to stay. This second stage in the trajectory of seasonal labour migrants marked the identification of specific local connections. Testimonies highlight the exclusivity of migrant networks and occupations:

it is only the people of Zango who used to go to Malam Sambo. Migrants from other villages went elsewhere. Initially, they only spent about three-four months in Zaria. When they started, they did not remain away any longer. The only work they did in Zaria was repairing household tools (\textit{giare-giare}). They were known for this. Customers found them outside the house of Sarkin Zaria. It is only in Zaria that they did this job, not back home. They even left their working tools there, they did not take them back. When they arrived, they picked up their tools and started working. It was a profitable business.\textsuperscript{50}

An elderly migrant showed me how he fixed broken clay pots with a technique that involved sealing holes and cracks with iron wire. ‘In Nigeria’, he said, ‘no one could do this repair job as well as us, and we were known for this.’\textsuperscript{51} A village in northern Ader takes its name from the fact that its inhabitants were Tuareg artisans mostly of free status.\textsuperscript{52} Former migrants from this village started migrating to Nigeria when they stopped working for the Tuareg elites on whom they had depended in precolonial times. In Nigeria, they repaired broken bowls, buckets, dishes, jugs, mortars and sifters for cereals. They distinguished between two activities known, in the Hausa of Ader, as
giartey-giartey and giare-giare. The giartey repaired large gourd bowls and mortars. Migrants who did giare-giare fixed large dishes. The oldest man of three who had been describing their travels recalled how he used to walk the streets of Kano as a young man, repeating a rhyming cry to attract clients: ‘giartey-giartey mai dumkin korrey!’; ‘Giartey-giartey, the good repairer!’

Early studies of migrant labour in Africa failed not only to distinguish between migrant workers of different statuses but also to see labour migration as only one phase in longer career-histories. The strategies of labour migrants depended on their previous experiences and future expectations. Many migrants of slave descent began to migrate on their own account after having been recruited as forced or pre-statory labour on colonial worksites.53 Men from the village of Kudeydey started migrating at the times of forced labour (*aikin dole*).54 The French made them cut down trees in Ader and carry them on foot to Tahoua. It took two men to carry one tree, and the men needed two days to bring the tree to Tahoua. They remained in Tahoua overnight and returned on the following morning. Sometimes nothing else was required from them for a long period, until the village chief announced that he had to recruit more workers. Tasks varied. After carrying trees, they had to collect chalk from quarries. Those recruited spent a month working in the mines next to Bermo and then came back home.55 When they were released, they were given a little money, as a compensation for expenses incurred while working at some distance from their own village.56 Some of them used this sum to finance their migrations. Colonial worksites included workers from different backgrounds, who exchanged information on places they had visited and activities they had carried out. Hence, while forced labour is generally remembered as a form of continued exploitation, I collected testimonies that highlighted the emancipatory potential of encounters with workers and military supervisors from other African regions on colonial worksites. Enslavement, forced labour and migrant labour figure as mutually constitutive experiences in the biographies of some African workers. A focus on individual experience exposes the connections between these activities from the perspectives of slave descendants. Men who had experienced personal subservience to a master became aware of new possibilities on colonial worksites. These experiences influenced their migration projects. However, as they endeavoured to realise their projects, they were confronted with new obstacles.

For migrants who came from the poorest communities, travel posed major challenges and yielded meagre returns. They lacked the initial capital to cover the costs of migration. Travel happened outside the space of safe livelihood arrangements, in which one knew how to find at least one daily meal. These migrants were under pressure to find any kind of job as soon as they arrived at their destination. Their precarious status meant that migrant savings, if at all possible, were limited. They travelled to places they had not seen before, eager to operate as free agents and to learn new skills and activities that they would bring back to a home that was now their own, and not their master’s. As a young man, Mousa had been recruited into forced labour several times.57 He carried stones in Keita, dug out chalk from quarries, contributed to road constructions. Mousa started migrating at the time of forced
labour. He went to Zaria, Funtua, Malumfashi, Tchiga and Majaji in Northern Nigeria. He travelled with others, but at his destination he stayed by himself, at the place of someone who accepted to host him and for whom he did casual work in exchange. There were days when he had to beg to get something to eat since he was paid very little for his work. He got a dala (5 francs) for a day’s work. He carried water and wood. He helped a woman who traded fritters by carrying them for her to the market and helping her to sell them. Once he remained in Zaria one whole year, because he got a stable job picking cotton. Earnings were so small that he could not afford to eat meat with his meals. He did all sorts of jobs for very small payments. Sometimes he received food as pay. In the end, he always returned to his village in Ader, because he could not stand the thought of abandoning his parents and relatives:

I ate. I was well, they were hungry back home. I could not run away. It was hunger that pushed me to migrate in the first place, so I knew how hard life was for the elders back home. But other migrants, to this day, they never came back.59

I asked Mousa if he had remained in contact with migrants from his village who had stayed abroad, or with their descendants. He replied that I was forgetting their origins. Those who left for good did not want to remain in touch with their past and reveal their slave origins:

When slavery was abolished, many left. Today, they have become ‘Aderawa’ and ‘Hausawa’: they changed their ethnicity (yanzu sun zama ‘Aderawa’, sun zama ‘Hausawa’ – sun sake). Today there are many migrants from here in Nigeria. They left because of hunger. Farming did not yield results. They never came back.60

Settled on Ader’s dry and rocky hills, Mousa’s village is exposed to drought and famine every year, and the harvest never suffices to meet its inhabitants’ subsistence needs. High rates of population growth keep increasing the need for migration remittances. In the 1920s and 1930s, these scarcely productive lands were progressively abandoned by the few families of former masters who had never exploited them for farming purposes and lost their herds during the 1970s and 1980s droughts, which hit Niger’s pastoralists harshly. In Mousa’s lifetime, these scarcely productive lands became his own, and Mousa kept returning to his village in Ader in-between seasonal labour migrations. His brother was the first independent village chief and did not travel. Mousa instead travelled to many destinations and learned how to farm different types of cereals in Hausa towns to the south. His village had been a camp of dependent herdsmen who took care of their masters’ animals; they were skilled herdsmen, but did not practice agriculture and only gathered wild produce.61 Mousa and his fellow migrants claim to have introduced farming to the village.

Permanent departures followed success at their destinations and the acquisition of productive resources that yielded better returns than Ader’s arid lands. But many slave descendants in villages like Kudeydey could not gain access to property rights over land at the destination of their travels. They travelled as poor people, and were seen as such by host populations. Marrying into the free sections of host societies must have been extremely difficult. The most marginal migrants in the 1930s and 1940s only sold their
labour in their travels. Thereby they accessed revenues that they could keep for themselves and make available to their own families at their return. The stories of the ones who made it and settled abroad fall outside the remits of this article, based upon research carried out in the region of origin of migrants of slave descent. For many, low status as migrant workers prevented their permanent integration in host societies. More precisely, it denied them access to land and brides. Rather than turning into dispossessed proletariat abroad, they kept returning to Ader, where having once been owned, they could now own productive resources and be responsible for their families.

Slave descendants and freeborn migrants travelled in different ways and with different objectives. While labour migrations functioned as avenues of emancipation for Ader’s ex-slaves, migration offered greater and faster economic mobility to free Hausa traders. When former slaves chose to benefit from the support of established diasporas from their region, they had to accept dependent positions. This option was not taken in the cases discussed above. Successful migrants cut ties with a stigmatising past and did not maintain relations with their hometown, or establish diasporas that would support subsequent generations of migrants from their villages. The first two or three generations of migrants of slave descent who travelled in the 1920s–1940s sought to distance themselves from their origins, when they could. This meant that successive generations of migrants did not benefit from established networks of ‘their own people’, but had to overcome the obstacles that confront strangers in foreign destinations. The establishment of host-migrant relations and professional niches had costs and reduced potential savings from migrant labour. During their stay abroad, the earnings of migrants of slave descent supported the business activities of their free hosts, rather than being available for investment to migrants themselves. The financial returns to labour of the first generations of seasonal migrants of slave status were negligible. However, in these travels, migrants experienced the beginning of their emancipation.

**Contexts of choice: making sense of the migration projects of slave descendants**

This section advances some conceptual and methodological reflections on the research approach of this article. The Ader case study discussed above shows that in order to identify the historical causes and consequences of the migrations of slave descendants, researchers have to examine the contexts in which particular migrants developed their migration projects. Focusing on contexts of choice entails at least four considerations. First, contexts of choice are shaped by ideologies (of status, gender, race, honour, etc.), and this in turn implies that choices are never neutral, but are valued according to established norms that reflect overwhelmingly the point of view of dominant groups at any one time and place. In particular, we need to reflect upon the stigma attached to slave origins and how awareness of this stigma would have influenced a former slave’s agency. Secondly, the social relations that individuals and groups partake of also determine the decision context in which emancipation strategies are developed. Slave descendants conceived their migration projects knowing that they could, or could not, count on the collaboration of specific individuals if
they opted for one or the other course of action, and that certain activities would have jeopardised existing alliances. The strategic alliances established in the course of migrations presupposed an informed assessment of pre-existing relations that were essentially hierarchical. Some alliances strengthened traditional hierarchies; others were premised on the renegotiation of such hierarchies; yet others denied past hierarchies and led to the creation of new ones. Individual agents shaped their aspirations according to their own perceptions of what they considered accessible or inaccessible to them. In this respect, male and female slave descendants had different expectations of the potential outcomes of migration. \(^63\) Finally, these considerations also apply to our interpretation of sources. Just as choices must be seen as contextual and positioned, so the testimonies we rely upon convey the positioned perspectives of their authors. As we reflect critically on how the subjects of our research were positioned in relation to the events they experienced, we must also be aware of the ways in which the time elapsed between the moment when an event occurred and its oral or textual recording may have altered the speakers’ perceptions of their own and other people’s experiences. Below, I discuss these points in relation to recently published studies that focus on the relation between migration and emancipation.

The trajectories of social mobility available to migrants of slave descent did not exist in a vacuum, ready to be seized by enterprising young migrants. They unfolded in spaces shaped by ideologies of status, which reflected the views of dominant groups. Status ideologies were harder to escape than former masters. Ideologies followed migrants through their travels and reminded them of the dangers involved in crossing status boundaries. Cities attracted ex-slaves and slave descendants wishing to find employment opportunities in urban contexts where their origins could be more easily concealed. However, urban migration did not always erase the memory of unfree ancestry. One’s past could resurface upon meeting someone from one’s village in town. Where hosts had no elements to gauge the status of immigrant workers, suspicion resulted in the ascription of potential slave origins. Migrants wishing to distance themselves from humble origins faced the stigma attached to foreigner status in new host societies. Looking at a Soninke village located in the Upper River Gambia, Paolo Gaibazzi’s research explores the transition between the difficult integration of immigrants into Sabi society, and the development of new projects of migration by a part of the descendants of earlier generations of immigrants. \(^64\) At least some of Sabi’s first-generation immigrants were not seasonal labour migrants, but migrants who had come to stay. This implied marrying into local households. Yet, their foreign origins often concealed slave descent — or in any case this was the assumption made by their hosts, who offered slave women as brides to newcomers. Ideologies of status permeated responses to the expectations and demands of foreigners as they had permeated relations with (ex-)slaves. Hence, permanent migrations enabled transitions across degrees of marginality more than outright exit from slavery.

Studies of slaves’ exoduses in the early phases of colonial rule emphasise the emancipatory potential of ex-slaves’ departures. Yet, the new life that had to be negotiated upon arrival was fraught with difficulties. \(^65\) Slave descendants who could rely on the
diaspora of former masters often did so at the cost of retaining a dependent position in
the course of their travels. As shown in Jean Schmitz’s outstanding study of emancipa-
tion strategies in the Senegal River Valley, ex-slaves who took advantage of the patron-
age of masters in the course of their labour migrations were sometimes able to improve
their conditions faster than the ones who cut ties. Different individuals opted for
different strategies. Their choices must be analysed in relation to the specific contexts
of choice faced by different persons at different stages in their lives. Lotte Pelckmans
studied related ruling families of sedentarised Fulbe elites in the Haayre region of
Central Mali. Here, children of slave concubines as opposed to their siblings born
from two freeborn parents were sent to French schools. This reflected a negative
bias against them, as some Fulbe elites refrained from sending their children to
French schools in the early years of colonial rule. When forced to do so by colonial
authorities, they sent the children of their concubines as opposed to those of their free-
born wives. However, French education turned out to give advantages to children of
slave concubines. Muusa Dicko, son of King Yerowal and the concubine Faata,
worked as a teacher in various parts of Mali. Later in his life, he returned to Haayre
and, in 2002, he became the first elected mayor of the rural municipality of Dalla.
His status had unexpectedly worked to his advantage. From having been sent off to
a destiny deemed undesirable, he became more influential than many of his ‘fully
freeborn’ relatives. Although he inherited fewer and lower-ranking dependants than
his siblings, his dependants partook of his own and his children’s social and
spatial mobility, thus rising in status compared to the ex-slave dependants of other
Dalla elites.

Individual social mobility cannot be assessed in absolute terms, but only acquires
meaning in relation to the hierarchies in which social actors are embedded. Moreover,
the upward mobility of one person rearranges the relative hierarchical positions of
others who belong to the same networks. Muusa’s son, Samba Dicko, was accompanied
in his studies in Abuja (Nigeria) by Souleymane, a junior member of a family of royal
slaves. In his migrations, Souleymane travelled both to work independently and to
move as an assistant/servant of Samba Dicko. In Bamako, he resided at the Dicko’s
family compound. Souleymane’s elder daughter, Aafi, was hosted at the household of
Samba Dicko when she moved to Douentza to complete her secondary education.
Her studies, made possible by the patronage of Muusa Dicko’s family to which her
father was related through ties of former servitude, may one day turn out to accelerate
her emancipation, just as education had been an asset to Muusa Dicko in relation to his
half-siblings. Detailed studies that follow individuals of slave descent along their trajec-
tories of spatial and social mobility reveal worlds where shades of grey are of the essence.
Researchers need to fine-tune their analytical tools to capture hierarchical nuances and
see how these influenced the behaviour of agents who belonged to the very hierarchies
that defined their options. Their strategies cannot be properly understood except in
relation to existential contexts shaped by hierarchies that are both constraining and
enabling. Paying attention to hierarchical nuances carries a heuristic premium, for
nuance is often what explains who stays and who goes; who follows whom; who goes
where; and how different people can move.
Enslaved women had distinct avenues of self-realisation. In Muslim contexts, enslaved women and their children could be emancipated through concubinage. The slave concubines of powerful men often occupied privileged positions even in comparison to those of many women of free status. Concubines aspired to being manumitted upon the death of their masters (or sooner), as under Maliki law, free status gave them privileges that enslaved women could not have, such as the right to own and inherit property, or have a legal standing separate from that of their masters. Yet in societies where women lived most of their lives under the tutelage of men, and where women’s activities in the sexual division of labour yielded smaller returns than those accessible to men, concubinage may have been a desirable option for slave women. Emancipated concubines often chose to remain with their master’s families. Ann McDougall discusses the life of Fatma Barka, who as a child at the beginning of the twentieth century, had been purchased in the French Sudan (today’s Mali) by Mohamed Barka, a Timbuktu merchant originally from Goulimine (southern Morocco). At some point in her youth, Fatma became the concubine of her master. This accrued respect to Fatma, or at least this is how she felt about her new status. After her master’s death, Fatma continued to run his household. She also started working on her own account, married a slave descendant and gave birth to three children, of whom only one boy survived. In her ‘free’ life, Fatma had to face her husband’s betrayal. He stole the title to her land and sold her property, which she was able to redeem with the help of the descendants of her former master. She travelled independently and took up many jobs, she worked in a sardine factory in Agadir, she carried out business with other women, and she helped her son to get married in Goulimine, where after his marriage she worked for wages. When she died of old age in 1995, Fatma was still participating in the life of the Barka family.

It is likely that our sources over-represent the life-stories of concubines who were relatively content with their lot, and therefore chose to share their experiences with researchers. Alongside a small number of privileged concubines, concubines united to poorer men, or unable to attract the favours of their masters and his free wives, lived a bitter life. Some, like Inna Nana in Northern Nigeria, were not manumitted and at their husband’s death had nothing and no one to rely upon:

You know it is only the married women (legally married according to the Shari’ah) that are entitled to inheritance. Of course, the estate was administered and all his wives received their shares according to the law. Only a legally married wife can inherit her deceased husband [sic]. Isn’t this the law? For me, I was left with no guarantee of even what to eat. I have to feed myself. You know I am also a part of the estate and can be inherited so how can I acquire property within a property clause. I received nothing from the estate.

Others escaped from their masters/ husbands when they had a chance to do so. It is often unclear from colonial reports how many of the runaway slave women whose ‘husbands’/masters claimed as their wives were female slaves or concubines. Yet a growing corpus of research on slave women in Africa indicates that large numbers of female ex-slaves walked away from their masters following colonial emancipation. Lovejoy shows that, in the early years of British occupation of Northern Nigeria, a large
proportion of slave fugitives were women: ‘These runaways are all women; mostly con-
cubines.’\textsuperscript{74} Marie Rodet’s study on the exodus and migration of female ex-slaves in
Upper Senegal shows that \textit{more female than male slaves} left their masters and migrated
into freedom villages, cities or rural areas.\textsuperscript{75} The fact that they sometimes left their
children behind, or moved to unsafe and harsh working conditions, bears witness
to their resentment of a life in bondage and the double (sexual and labour) exploita-
tion to which they had been exposed.

The experiences of slaves and slave descendants reveal that individual slaves, like
freeborn, were able to carve for themselves unique positions in the societies to
which they belonged. Their room for manoeuvre was often narrower than that of
the freeborn but, like free men and women, they tried to devise trajectories that
gave them greater control over their lives. Their gender, age and individual character-
istics (e.g. the strength of a slave man, or his religious inclinations and intellectual
skills; the beauty of slave woman, her entrepreneurship, etc.) made certain trajectories
more accessible than others. Their position in any one social network was not only
marked by slavery, but also by their individual qualities and by how they took advant-
age of specific geographic, social and political circumstances.\textsuperscript{76} Choosing if, when and
how to move was a precondition for achieving greater control over their own existence.
Sources often do not state explicitly if migrants were or were not satisfied with their
circumstances in different locations. When sources do characterise migrations as
failed or successful, such judgements may tell us more about the interests of their
authors than about the achievements of ex-slaves.\textsuperscript{77} Colonial reports emphasise the
‘unruliness’ of former slaves; elderly slave descendants sometimes romanticise their
labour migrations as successful adventures; descendants of masters trivialise the
achievements of their former dependants. These perspectives are not just subjective
bias that distorts the ‘facts’ of history. The emotions that colour them are inseparable
from the experiential dimension that this article aims to capture. The idealisation of a
master’s authority, or of the freedom that cities would offer, influenced decisions as
much as economic calculus and political contingency. Similarly, European ideologies
of race, class and slavery influenced colonial legislation and administrative practice.
Bias and subjectivity shape agency, and human agency shapes history.

\textbf{Conclusion}

As slaves strategically moved in space in order to move up the social ladder, their status
often hindered their projects. Migrations required financial and social capital. This put
(former) slaves at a disadvantage. In some cases, migration granted only temporary
relief from the stigma attached to slave descent. Florence Boyer described how
Tuareg slave descendants from the region of Bankilaré (Niger) were able to operate
anonymously at the destination of their migrations, but once reintegrated in their
community, they resumed their subordinate role \textit{vis-à-vis} former masters.\textsuperscript{78} Migrants
of slave descent who chose to rely on the support of networks from their region could
not hide their slave origins abroad. This had implications for the types of activities
they could undertake at their destination. Those who opted out of these support
networks did so at their own peril. They could find themselves isolated aliens in a foreign land, a condition not far from the marginality that characterised the newly enslaved.79

This article set out to consider how the trajectories of West African slaves, freed slaves and slave descendants could be analysed, and their constrained and contextual choices examined. Paul Ricoeur has argued that history has the potential to ‘retell events otherwise’ and from the other’s point of view.80 In order to do so, like Ann McDougall, historians must attempt to ‘adjust their understandings’ to the perspectives of the subjects of their research.81 These perspectives change as people move in space and through life. Looking at the mobility of slaves and slave descendants places experience at the centre of the analysis. This does not reveal every aspect of the history of emancipation. But it constitutes an attempt to tell the story of emancipation otherwise, from the point of view of persons of slave descent. Once it is re-presented this way, emancipation ceases to be an abstract concept and can be studied as a dynamic process in the history of African labour.
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Notes


One of the main advocates of the focus on experience in the history of labour has been Edward P. Thompson, see preface to *The Making of the English Working Class* (London: Penguin, 1963) and Edward P. Thompson, 'The Poverty of Theory: An Orrery of Errors', in *The Poverty of Theory and Other Essays*, ed. Edward P. Thompson (London: Merlin Press, 1978), 193–397. In the history of African labour, this perspective has been advocated by John Iliffe:

> a labour movement has its roots not in politics, but in work. It grows out of the nature of work, the economic and social position of the worker, and his response to his position. To view it solely from the ‘top’ is to miss the dynamic which powers the movement. This history of a labour movement must therefore be based in a history of work, and the most profound sense of change within it is the changing character of work in which men are engaged,


Some of this work has been integrated in a research project organised by Alice Bellagamba, Martin Klein, Sandra Greene and Carolyn Brown. They have run conferences in Bellagio, Toronto and Buea in Cameroon, in which over 80 scholars participated and contributed their research on African sources on the history of slavery and the slave trade. This project will produce a number of outputs, including a collection of primary sources: Alice Bellagamba, Sandra Greene, and Martin Klein, eds., *African Voices on Slavery and the Slave Trade* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013).

Sandra Greene defined ‘slave narratives’ as ‘oral and written texts produced by or in collaboration with the (formerly) enslaved and their descendants about their experiences with the

[11] ... to recapture what we can of the ways in which the labouring poor lived, acted and thought, is important, and insofar as it is now producing a spate of ‘oral history’ or even [...] memoirs actually written by men and women from the working class, an essential widening of our perspective. And yet these things are not ends in themselves, however excited we may feel at discovering what has been hitherto unknown. If we do not formulate questions first and look for the material in the light of these questions, we risk producing merely a leftwing version of antiquarianism, work which is the equivalent of that of amateur folklore collectors.


[14] Between April and the end of May 1906 an estimated 3,000 slaves left Banamba and the nearby Maraka cities. The plight of these slaves was often desperate. Dispossessed, they often went for days with little or nothing to eat. Many slaves probably ended up in Bamako where demands for labour were easily met that year. Most undoubtedly made it back to earlier homes.

(Klein and Roberts, ‘Banamba Slave Exodus’, 391)


[28] Interview with Mousa, Zangon Sama (pseudonym), November 26, 2008.

[29] Enslaved persons’ attempts to ‘pass for free’ are well documented in American historiography, see, for example, Amani Marshall, ‘“They Will Endeavor to Pass for Free”: Enslaved Runaways’ Performances of Freedom in Antebellum South Carolina’, *Slavery and Abolition* 31, no. 2 (2012): 161–80.

[30] I provide an example of emancipation of Tuareg slave descendants through intermarriage with free Hausa and ethnic passing across three–four generations in Benedetta Rossi, ‘Being and Becoming Hausa in Ader (Niger)’, in *Being and Becoming Hausa: Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, ed. Anne Haour and Benedetta Rossi (Leiden: Brill, 2010), 113–40.


[45] With Ordinance No. 19, ‘all persons born in or brought into Northern Nigeria’ were free. It was no longer necessary for individuals to pay their ransoms or acquire certificates of freedom in the Islamic courts. Ordinance No. 19 was the last piece of legislation in the long history of legal-status abolition in Northern Nigeria. (Lovejoy and Hogendorn, *Slow Death for Slavery*, 30 (Note 113), 261).


[48] Interview, Goudou (pseudonym), November 27, 2008.

[49] Interview, Goudou, November 27, 2008.


[51] Interview, Goudou, November 27, 2008.

[52] Interview, Goudou, November 27, 2008.
The prestation was a specified number of days of unpaid labour that able-bodied men had to contribute to the administration of the Cercle for the implementation of works considered of communal interest.

Interview, Kudeydey, December 1, 2008.

Town situated roughly 100 km east of Keita.

As early as 1912, French colonial legislation concerning prestations established that workers working further away than 5 km from their village had to receive a daily food ration or be compensated in cash, see Article 3 of the decree of 25 November 1912, J.O. AOF 11–1–13, no. 1930(6) portant réglementation de la prestation des indigènes dans les colonies et territoires du Gouvernement général de l’AOF. Archives Nationales du Sénégal, K77 (26).

I could not identify the last two locations.

Interview with Mousa, Zangon Sama, November 26, 2008.

Interview with Mousa, Zangon Sama, November 26, 2008.

Interview with Mousa, Zangon Sama, November 26, 2008.


The notion of ‘context of choice’ was developed in liberal political theory to argue in favour of group-differentiated rights, see Will Kimlicka, Liberalism, Community and Culture (Oxford: Clarendon, 1989), 164–6. In this article, this notion is used more generally along the lines discussed by Katherine Fierlbeck, ‘Getting Representation Right for Women in Development’, in Getting Institutions Right for Women in Development, ed. Anne Marie Goetz (London: Zed, 1998), 223–34.

For a theoretical discussion of this point, see Pierre Bourdieu, The Logic of Practice (Cambridge: Polity Press, 1990), Book 1, chap. 1.


The two main works I know of which examine in detail what happened to former slaves after they reached the destinations of their migrations are Cooper, From Slaves to Squatters and Sikainga, Slaves into Workers, both of which focus on East Africa. For West Africa, even the most exhaustive study of the relation between migrations and status mobility – Manchuelle, Willing Migrants – does not discuss the consequences of slave descent in Chapter 7 on the conditions of urban migrants in 1930–1960. Two papers of this author address this topic more explicitly: Manchuelle, ‘Slavery, Emancipation, and Labour Migration in West Africa’ and Manchuelle, ‘Patriarchal Ideal of Soninke Labour Migrants’. For Ghana, there exist several substantial studies of the conditions of migrant labour at destination, but they say little about the consequences of slave descent for the workers in question, see, for example, Rouch, ‘Migrations au Ghana’; Polly Hill, Migrant Cocoa-Farmers of Southern Ghana (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1963); Gareth Austin, Labour, Land and Capital in Ghana: From Slavery to Free Labour in Asante, 1807–1956 (Rochester: University of Rochester Press, 2004), see particularly chap. 19. A noteworthy exception to the tendency of authors to dismiss slave status as they follow migrants’ activities at destination is Daniel Delaunay, De la captivité à l’exil: histoire et démographie des migrations paysannes dans la moyenne vallée du Sénégal (Paris: ORSTOM, 1984). However the author’s demographic approach, while interesting, provides scarce information on the personal, experiential dimension of migrations. The question of how the changes brought about through migrations affected the status of migrants of slave descent, and vice versa, how their status affected their movements, only started being addressed recently, see Meskerem Brhane, ‘Histoires de Nouakchott: narrations de hrâtıˆn sur le pouvoir et l’identité’, in Groupes serviles au Sahara, ed. Mariella Villasante-de Beauvais (Paris: CNRS Editions, 2000), 195–234; Yaya Sy, ‘l’esclavage chez les soninke: du village à Paris’, Journal des Africanistes 70, no. 1 (2000): 43–69; Benedetta Rossi, ‘Slavery and Migration: Physical and Social Mobility in Ader (Tahoua)’, in Reconfiguring Slavery: West African Trajectories, ed. Benedetta Rossi (Liverpool: Liverpool
University Press), 182–206. The most extensive study of this issue is Pelckmans, *Travelling Hierarchies*.


[67] Pelckmans, *Travelling Hierarchies*, see in particular chaps. 3, 6, 8 and 9.


[67] Pelckmans, *Travelling Hierarchies*, see in particular chaps. 3, 6, 8 and 9.


